

Explanation of the exemption applied

Section 30(1)(a)(i) states “Information held by a public authority is exempt information if it has at any time been held by the authority for the purposes of an investigation which the public authority has a duty to conduct with a view to it being ascertained whether a person should be charged with an offence”. The Commission is able to apply this exemption as section 28 of the Gambling Act sets out its powers to investigate and institute criminal proceedings in respect of offences committed under the Act, including the offence of cheating under section 42.

Public interest arguments in favour of disclosure

- disclosure of the requested information could demonstrate to stakeholders and relevant parties that the Commission is active in investigating suspicious betting and that this disclosure may actually encourage stakeholders (for example Sports Governing Bodies and other law enforcement agencies) to work with us and contribute to our programme of work here
- there is a legitimate public interest in promoting accountability and transparency of public authorities
- public disclosure of this information may also, by way of demonstrating our proactive work in this area, discourage unlawful activities being pursued (which is in the public interest)

Public interest arguments in favour of maintaining the exemption

- providing information which makes specific individuals or events identifiable may deter stakeholders and relevant parties from reporting suspicious betting activity to us. For example, some organisations may be less inclined to share information with us if they know we will publish or disclose it
- providing information which makes specific individuals or events identifiable could alert individuals involved in betting frauds to the fact that the Commission was investigating a particular case and provide them with an opportunity to alter their behaviours and evade detection. This would result in making it more difficult for the Commission to achieve its aims
- disclosure of the requested information may prejudice the outcome of any future investigation by the Commission, or another body, to the detriment of the public interest

Balance of public interest arguments

Given the points considered, the Commission believes that the interests of the public are better served through maintaining the exemption. The nature of the information requested may prejudice the outcome of any future investigation by the Commission, therefore we are not in a position to disclose further details.